



Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Date: May 30, 2001

Sonia V. McVean
Sonia V. McVean

#15

Priority Papers

PATENT
50626.19

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Dieter SCHULZ Serial No.: 09/808684 Filing Date: March 15, 2001 For: MULTI-FREQUENCY TONE DETECTOR	Art Unit: Unknown Examiner: Unassigned
---	---

TRANSMITTAL OF PRIORITY DOCUMENTS

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith is a certified copy each of British Patent Application No. **0006576.3** filed **March 17, 2000**, from which priority is claimed under 35 U.S.C. 119 and Rule 55b. Acknowledgement of the priority documents is respectfully requested to ensure that the subject information appears on the printed patent.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph R. Keating
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Reg. No. 37,368

Date: May 30, 2001

KEATING & BENNETT LLP
10400 Eaton Place, Suite 312
(703) 385-5200



This Page Blank (uspto)



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

The Patent Office
Concept House
Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP10 8QQ

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.


In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.

**CERTIFIED COPY OF
PRIORITY DOCUMENT**

Signed

Dated 3 April 2001



This Page Blank (uspto)

The
Patent
Office



20MAR00 E522635-3 002094
P01/7700 0.00-000 576.3

1/77

Request for grant of a patent

The Patent Office

Cardiff Road
Newport
Gwent NP9 1RH

1.	Your reference	03 35687		
2.	Patent application number	17 MAR 2000		
3.	Full name, address and post code of the or each applicant	Mitel Corporation 350 Legget Drive P.O. Box 13089, Kanata, Ontario, Canada K2K 2W7		
	Patents ADP number			
	If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation	A company organised and existing under the laws of the Province of Ontario		
4.	Title of the invention	MULTI-FREQUENCY TONE DETECTOR		
5.	Name of your agent	VENNER, SHIPLEY & CO.		
	"Address for service" in the United Kingdom to which all correspondence should be sent	20 LITTLE BRITAIN LONDON EC1A 7DH		
	Patents ADP	1669004		
6.	If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or each of these earlier applications and the or each application number	Country	Priority application number	Date of filing
7.	If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and filing date of the earlier application	Number of earlier application		Date of Filing
8.	Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? (Answer 'YES' if: a) any applicant in 3. above is not an inventor, or b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or c) any named applicant is a corporate body)	YES		

0006576.3

60767 1002

Patents Form 1/77

9. Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form. Do not count copies of the same document

Continuation sheets of this form

Description	9	/
Claim(s)	2	/
Abstract	1	/
Drawing(s)	6	to /

10. If you are also filing any of the following state how many against each item.

Priority documents	N/A
Translations of priority documents	N/A
Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent (<i>Patents Form 7/77</i>)	1
Request for preliminary examination and search (<i>Patents Form 9/77</i>)	1
Request for substantive examination (<i>Patents Form 10/77</i>)	
Any other documents	

11. I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

Signature

Date

17 March 2000

Venner, Shipley & Co

12. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom

MATTHEW READ
0171-600 4212

MULTI-FREQUENCY TONE DETECTOR

Field Of The Invention

The present invention relates generally to tone detectors for telephone systems and in particular to an improved tone detector with filter characterized by spectral
5 nulls located to suppress frequencies adjacent the tone to be detected and an improved detection algorithm using roll-off characteristics of the filter and background noise.

Background Of the Invention

10 Multi-Frequency (MF) tones are used extensively in telecommunications. Examples of MF tones are DTMF and R2. Typical prior art tone detectors include a pre-filter for suppressing out-of-band frequencies, an analysis filter for determining the energy of the input signal at each multi-frequency tone of interest, and a decision
15 logic block for interpreting the multi-frequency energy values output from the analysis filter and in response performing a pass/fail test for determining the presence or absence of a tone at each multi-frequency tone of interest.

Prior art analysis filters have been implemented using standard digital filtering techniques. The analysis window for such prior art digital filters must be carefully
20 chosen. Rectangular windows are often used for simplicity. However, the leakage of energies in the side lobes of the rectangular window is such that other MF frequencies may be incorrectly detected. Also, fast roll-off characteristics (i.e. high order) are usually imposed in order to fail any tone that deviates excessively from its nominal value.

25

Summary Of The Invention

According to one aspect of the present invention there is provided a multi-frequency tone detector with analysis window (i.e. filter size) chosen such that
30 spectral nulls are located at adjacent frequencies of interest. According to another

aspect, the decision logic block uses the roll-off characteristics of the filter in conjunction with background noise to determine a threshold pass/fail for any tone that has deviated excessively from its nominal value. The foregoing aspects of the invention result in simpler filter design (i.e. reduced order) relative to prior art tone detectors, while maintaining high tone detection accuracy.

Brief Description Of The Drawings

A preferred embodiment of the present invention will now be described more fully with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:

Figure 1 is a block diagram of a well known multi-frequency tone detector;

Figure 2 shows the frequency response of a tone detector filter with rectangular window;

Figure 3 shows the frequency response of a filter with rectangular window centered at 900 Hz, showing the location of spectral nulls at equally spaced frequencies adjacent 900 Hz, in accordance with the present invention;

Figure 4 shows filter roll-off for a filter with rectangular window;

Figure 5 shows the frequency response of a filter with rectangular window of size $N=195$, centered at 852 Hz, showing moderate suppression of adjacent DTMF signal frequencies, in accordance with an alternative embodiment of the present invention; and

Figure 6 shows the frequency response of a filter with rectangular window of size $N=276$, centered at 852 Hz, showing improved suppression of adjacent DTMF

signal frequencies, in accordance with an alternative embodiment of the present invention.

Detailed Description Of The Preferred Embodiment

5

Figure 1 shows a typical tone detector. Pre-filter 1 filters the input signal to suppress out-of-band frequencies. The envelope detector 4 determines whether a signal is present or not and synchronizes the signal edges of the signal with the operation of the analysis filter bank 3. The analysis filter bank consists of multiple
10 filters, one at each MF frequency of interest. These filters calculate the energy of each frequency. The energy calculator 5 computes the total energy of the signal after pre-filtering. The total energy combined with the results of the analysis filter bank 3 is fed to a decision logic block 7 that determines whether a valid tone is present or not. The decision block 7 may perform various tests, such as minimum tone level, twist (if it is
15 a dual tone), maximum frequency deviation, etc. As discussed in greater detail below, according to one aspect of the invention a new method is implemented in decision logic block 7 to determine frequency deviation from a nominal value by using the roll-off in the filter bank 3 combined with background energy.

20

The analysis filters 3 are implemented as DFT filters using a modified Goertzel algorithm, one for each MF (e.g. R2) frequency. The Goertzel filter is centered at the R2 frequency.

According to the preferred embodiment a rectangular window was chosen for the DFT (Goertzel) filter. The choice of a rectangular window results in reduced complexity relative to other filter types. For example, pre-processing the data with either a raised cosine or Kaiser window has a considerable MIPS impact. As indicated above, however, the leakage of the energies in the side lobes can be significant in rectangular windows (especially if two valid frequencies to be detected have a relative twist). Thus, according to an aspect of the invention, the filter size is chosen in such a way that the other MF frequencies fall in its spectral nulls, thereby greatly reducing the leakage effect.

10

R2 is a special case of MF communication. The six R2 signaling frequencies are situated at 540Hz, 660Hz, 780Hz, 900Hz, 1020Hz and 1140Hz for the backward direction and at 1380Hz, 1500Hz, 1620Hz, 1740Hz, 1860Hz and 1980Hz for the forward direction. Thus, in each direction the frequencies are 120Hz apart. A window of size N has nulls situated at frequency intervals F_s/N , as shown in Figure 2. Consequently, for R2 signaling spectral nulls are needed at 120Hz intervals.

In order to position the nulls at 120 Hz intervals, and assuming a sampling frequency $F_s = 8\text{kHz}$, a window size of 200 samples is chosen for the filter (the minimum window size for nulls at 120Hz is $N = F_s / 120\text{Hz}$, which is 66.67, such that the smallest integer window is thus $N = 200$).

For example: If the frequency of interest is at 900Hz, the filter is centered at 900Hz using a window of 200 samples. Spectral nulls are therefore located at each of the other MF frequencies, i.e. 540Hz, 660Hz, 780Hz, 1020Hz and 1140Hz. Figure 3 shows the R2 example for a frequency of 900Hz. It will be noted that the other R2 frequencies fall exactly on the spectral nulls.

25

For non-equally spaced frequencies (such as DTMF), the approach is not as straight forward as for the equally spaced frequency case. Nonetheless, the windows for each frequency detector (i.e. filter) may be sized in such a way that the closest frequency falls into a null. On the other hand, the window may be chosen such that the interference of the other frequencies is minimized.

For example, if the frequency of interest is the DTMF frequency at 852 Hz., the nearest adjacent frequency is 770 Hz (i.e. separated by 82 Hz). Choosing a window size of $N=195$ places nulls at intervals of 41Hz, as shown in Figure 5. The other DTMF frequencies (697 Hz, 941 Hz) do not fall on the spectral nulls, but are only suppressed by 24.8 dB and 23 dB respectively. However, if the window size is chosen to be $N=276$ as shown in Figure 6, none of the DTMF frequencies fall on spectral nulls. Nonetheless, the frequencies are suppressed by 25.3 dB, 24.8 dB and 32.6 dB respectively, thereby improving the overall suppression.

15

The filters comprising analysis bank 3 may be implemented in many ways. As indicated above, a Goertzel DFT filter was implemented according to the preferred embodiment. Implementations of Goertzel filters are well known in the art (e.g. Burrus, C.S. and T.W. Parks. 1985. DFT/FFT and Convolution Algorithms. New York: Prentice-Hall.). An example of a Goertzel filter for use in the multi-frequency tone detector of the present invention, centered at 900 Hz, is as follows:

```
25      Fs = 8000; %Sampling Frequency
      Fc = 900; % Centre frequency
      coeff = (2*cos(2*pi*(Fc/Fs))); % Goertzel coefficient
      N = 200; % To create nulls at 40Hz intervals
```

```
      BEGIN
          % Feedback phase
          for k=1:N
30              temp = input(k) + coeff*y(1) - y(2);
              y(2) = y(1);
              y(1) = temp;
          end
```

```

5      % Feedforward phase (Calculates energy in Window)
      Y11 = y(1);
      Y12 = y(2);
      energy = (Y11*Y11 + Y12*Y12 - Y11*Y12*coeff)/N/N;
      return energy
      END

```

The return value "energy" is the tone energy at a specific frequency, which is fed into the decision logic 7.

10

The frequency deviation algorithm implemented within block 7 uses the roll-off of the filter 3 combined with an estimate of the background energy. Figure 4 shows the roll-off characteristics of a rectangular window (for a size of 200 samples and a sampling rate of $F_s = 8$ kHz). Thus, for a single tone frequency deviation of 15 Hz from nominal, the roll-off is about -2 dB. In other words, if a tone deviates 15 Hz from its nominal frequency, its energy in the bin would be 2 dB down from its actual energy. This energy is not "lost", but has leaked outside the bin, contributing to the background energy. Consequently, by calculating the background energy the frequency deviation of the tone may be determined.

20

Total Energy is the energy of the output of the pre-filter 1. It is made up of the single frequency energy (E) and background noise (n).

$$\text{Total Energy} = E + n$$

The frequency energy is made up of the energy within the analysis filter (E_bin) and the leakage energy due to roll-off (E_ro). Thus, for a single tone:

$$E = E_{\text{bin}} + E_{\text{ro}}$$

If the frequency deviation is zero, then

$$E = E_{\text{bin}} \text{ and } E_{\text{ro}} \text{ is zero}$$

Thus the Total Energy is made up of:

$$\text{Total Energy} = E_{\text{bin}} + E_{\text{ro}} + n$$

$$= E_{\text{bin}} + E_{\text{back}}$$

where E_{back} is the background energy relative to the output of analysis filter 3.

Thus, the background energy is calculated by subtracting the energy within the
5 analysis filter from the total energy. The Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR) of the analysis
filter energy relative to the background energy can be represented as:

$$\text{SNR}_{\text{ro}} = E_{\text{bin}} / E_{\text{back}}$$

$$= E_{\text{bin}} / (E_{\text{ro}} + n)$$

Thus, there is a direct relationship between SNR_{ro} and E_{ro} , the leakage
10 energy due to the roll-off, and consequently the frequency deviation.

For a rectangular window:

$$\text{Roll-off} = (\sin(x) / x)^2 \text{ with}$$

$$x = \pi * f * \text{size} / F_s$$

For tolerable frequency deviations up to $f = 13$ Hz, $\text{size} = 200$ and $F_s = 8$ kHz
15 $x = 1.021$ and the roll-off is 0.6974. Assuming that the noise (n) is negligible relative
to the leaked tone energy E_{ro} , then:

$$E_{\text{back}} = E_{\text{ro}} = (1 - \text{Roll-off}) * E$$

$$\text{And } E_{\text{bin}} = \text{Roll-off} * E$$

$$\text{SNR}_{\text{ro}} = \text{Roll-off} / (1 - \text{Roll-off})$$

$$20 \quad \quad \quad = 0.6974 / (1 - 0.6974)$$

$$= 2.3$$

SNR_{ro} provides an upper threshold limit for tolerable frequency deviation. If the ratio between E_{bin} and E_{back} is less than SNR_{ro}, frequency deviations of more than 13 Hz are accepted. If the threshold is set above SNR_{ro}, then frequency deviations of up to 13 Hz will not be tolerated.

- 5 The dual tone case is very similar to the single tone case set forth above. However, in the case of dual tones the Total Energy is made up of both energies.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Total Energy} &= E1_{\text{bin}} + E1_{\text{ro}} + E2_{\text{bin}} + E2_{\text{ro}} + n \\ &= E1_{\text{bin}} + E2_{\text{bin}} + E_{\text{back}}\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{And } E_{\text{back}} = E1_{\text{ro}} + E2_{\text{ro}} + n$$

10
$$\text{SNR1}_{\text{ro}} = E1_{\text{bin}} / (E1_{\text{ro}} + E2_{\text{ro}} + n)$$

Assuming no twist between the tones (E1 = E2) and negligible noise, then for a frequency deviation of 13 Hz for both tones

$$\begin{aligned}\text{SNR1}_{\text{ro}} &= E1_{\text{bin}} / E_{\text{back}} \\ &= \text{Roll-off} / ((1 - \text{Roll-off}) + (1 - \text{Roll-off})) \\ &= 1.15\end{aligned}$$

15

The situation is a bit more complicated if the tones have relative twist. Assume

$$E2 = \text{twist} * E1 \text{ and twist} = 6.3096 (= 8 \text{ dB}) \text{ with } f = 13 \text{ Hz}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\text{SNR1_ro} &= \text{E1_bin} / \text{E_back} \\ &= \text{Roll-off} / ((1 - \text{Roll-off}) + \text{twist} * (1 - \text{Roll-off})) \\ &= \text{Roll-off} / ((1 + \text{twist}) * (1 - \text{Roll-off})) \\ &= 0.315 \\ &= -5\text{dB}\end{aligned}$$

5

In this case, SNR_ro provides the upper threshold limit which, it will be noted, is considerably relaxed relative to the threshold without twist, in order to tolerate a frequency deviation of at least 13 Hz when dual tones and twist are present.

The following is a pseudo code implementation for a typical dual tone detector using roll-off:

```
10 BEGIN
    Calculate Total Energy
    Calculate bin energies at each nominal frequency
    Select two highest frequency bins, ie. E1_bin and E2_bin
15 Calculate E_back = Total_Energy - E1_bin - E2_bin
    If E1_bin < SNR1_ro * E_back
        Status = failed
    Elseif E2_bin < SNR2_ro * E_back
        Status = failed
20 End
END
```

Although a preferred embodiment of the present invention has been described, those skilled in the art will appreciate that variations and modifications may be made.

25 For example, the embodiment set forth above is implemented via Goertzel DFT filters, whereas the filters 3 can also be implemented as FIR filters or IIR filters. This and all other such alternatives and variations are believed to be within the sphere and scope of the invention as defined by the claims appended hereto.

I Claim:

1. A multi-frequency tone detector comprising an analysis filter for detecting the tone energies of an input signal at a plurality of tone frequencies of interest and a
5 decision logic block for detecting presence or absence of said tone frequencies of interest based on said detected tone energies, characterized in that a total energy calculator is provided for calculating total energy of the input signal and said decision logic block detects the presence or absence of said tone frequencies by calculating background energy as total energy minus the tone energies of said input signal at said
10 plurality of tone frequencies of interest, and for each of said tone energies (i) calculating a roll-off signal-to-noise ratio for said analysis filter, (ii) failing any of said tone frequencies of interest for which said tone energies are less than said roll-off signal-to-noise ratio times said background energy and (iii) otherwise passing said tone frequencies.
15
2. The multi-frequency tone detector of claim 1, further characterized in that said analysis filter has a window size chosen such that adjacent ones of said tone frequencies of interest are located at spectral nulls of said filter.
- 20 3. The multi-frequency tone detector of claim 2, further characterized in that said window is a rectangular window.
4. The multi-frequency tone detector of any one of claims 1, 2 or 3, characterized in that said analysis filter is a digital filter.
- 25 5. A multi-frequency tone detector comprising an analysis filter for detecting the tone energies of an input signal at a plurality of tone frequencies of interest and a decision logic block for detecting presence or absence of said tone frequencies of interest based on said detected tone energies, characterized in that said analysis filter

has a window size chosen such that adjacent ones of said tone frequencies of interest are located at spectral nulls of said filter.

6. The multi-frequency tone detector of claim 5, further characterized in that said
5 window is a rectangular window.

7. The multi-frequency tone detector of any one of claims 5 or 6, characterized in that said analysis filter is a digital filter.

10 8. The multi-frequency tone detector of claim 5, further characterized in that a total energy calculator is provided for calculating total energy of the input signal and said decision logic block detects the presence or absence of said tone frequencies by calculating background energy as total energy minus the tone energies of said input signal at said plurality of tone frequencies of interest, and for each of said tone
15 energies (i) calculating a roll-off signal-to-noise ratio for said analysis filter, (ii) failing any of said tone frequencies of interest for which said tone energies are less than said roll-off signal-to-noise ratio times said background energy and (iii) otherwise passing said tone frequencies.

ABSTRACT

A multi-frequency tone detector with analysis window (i.e. filter size) chosen such that spectral nulls are located at adjacent frequencies of interest. The decision logic block of the tone detector uses the roll-off characteristics of the filter in conjunction with background noise to determine a threshold pass/fail for any tone that has deviated excessively from its nominal value. The foregoing aspects of the invention result in simple filter design (i.e. reduced order) relative to prior art tone detectors.




Figure 1

This Page Blank (uspto)




Figure 2

This Page Blank (uspto)




Figure 3

~~This Page~~ Blank (uspto)




Figure 4

This Page Blank (uspto)




Figure 5

This Page Blank (uspto)




Figure 6

This Page Blank (uspto)